#### ICAO Communication Failure Coordinating Group (CFCG) Update

Presented to: ISPACG/28 By: Keith Dutch, FAA Date: 03 – 07 March 2014



#### **Reason for CFCG**

- The Cross Polar Working Group (CPWG) proposed a common communication failure procedure for FIRs around North Pole
  - Each FIR has its own comm failure procedure
  - Aircraft pass through FIRs for relatively short periods
  - Proposed that aircraft maintain the last ATC-assigned speed, route, and altitude
- Annex 2 procedures and several Regions/ANSPs tell aircraft to follow "flight plan", which permits step-climbs from Item 15.
- ICAO formed CFCG to resolve difference



# **CFCG** Activity

- ICAO CFCG met 23-25 October 2012
- The Meeting reviewed communication failure provisions contained
  - Annex 2 Rules of the Air
  - Annex 10 Aeronautical Telecommunications, Volume II — Communication Procedures including those with PANS status
  - Current regionally-agreed provisions, amendment proposals submitted by the European Region, North American Region, and many national regulations, which differ from ICAO



# **CFCG Activity (continued)**

- Discussions about "communication failure" and the scope of work for the CFCG
  - Failure of ATC comms were considered outside the scope of the CFCG
  - Would address single aircraft with partial and total comm (ATC-approved voice, data link, SATCOM, etc. and non-approved [cell phone]) loss
- Discussed various scenarios (low-density vs. high-density, surveillance, remote, etc.)



# **CFCG Activity (continued)**

- Phase of flight (departure, en route, descent)
- Flight plan (Filed, Current, Repetitive, operational version for aircrew)
- Subsequent meetings to be held by WebEx
- Unable to progress activity due to differences
  - Continue flight according to FPL (altitude changes)
  - Continue flight last ATC assigned altitude



### **CFCG Current Status**

- ISAVIA, NAV CANADA, and FAA propose that a flight should not automatically climb in accordance with the flight plan
  - ICAO NAT Region clearance formulated to provide conflict-free flight
  - Assigned flight level coordinated with downstream ATC
  - Level in FPL may no longer be operationally suitable
  - Flight crew and ATC may have different understandings of "current flight plan"



# **CFCG Current Status (continued)**

- Others contend flight should automatically climb in accordance with the flight plan
  - Last assigned level may not provide terrain and obstacle clearance for the entire route
  - Flight plan represents an operationally suitable profile for the flight
  - Flight plan is a single point of information about the flight's intentions



### **CFCG Next Meeting**

- 10-14 February 2014
- ICAO Montreal, Canada





# **Questions?**

